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Annex A

Explanatory Notes
1. **Summary of method of conducting topographic and hydrographic surveys (based on the Environment Agency’s National Specification Sections XII and XIII)**

Topographic cross-shore (profile) measurements are made at the intercept of the beach and a hard structure, at all changes of beach slope, at changes in surface sediment and at maximum defined intervals (every 5 metres). Each measurement is feature-coded with the type of surface material. Profiles are 100-500m apart, depending on management status. The seaward limit to be achieved is Mean Low Water Springs or 50 metres from the beach toe.

Topographic spot height (baseline) surveys are carried out annually at Beach Management Plan sites. Profiles are measured at 50m intervals, with the addition of spot heights at the toe of hard structures, the beach surface surrounding structures, all beach ridge crests, all other changes in slope and sediment changes, plus contour lines at a maximum spacing of 5m. All measurements are feature-coded with sediment type. Sufficient data points must be measured to generate a reliable Digital Terrain Model.

Hydrographic surveys are conducted with a single beam echo-sounder, with the position fixing requirement relaxed to DGPS. Soundings are taken along cross-shore profile lines 50m apart and extend 1km offshore. A minimum of 4 shore parallel tie lines are required (including one near the landward and seaward boundaries). The landward limit varies slightly across the region, due to the variation in tidal range, but in general is landward of Mean Sea Level, thus providing overlap with the topographic surveys. Tidal control may be by RTK GPS or by correction from tide gauges which are tied to the survey control network.

2. **Change in Cross-sectional Area (CSA)**

The annual change in cross-sectional area is calculated as the difference in CSA between two surveys, expressed as a percentage change compared to the earlier CSA.

\[
\frac{CSA_1 - CSA_2}{CSA_2} \times 100 \quad \text{Eqn (1)}
\]

where CSA\(_1\) = most recent springtime survey and CSA\(_2\) = spring survey previous year. Therefore an annual change of –14% represents erosion during the last year of 14% of the area of last year’s survey.

3. **Method of derivation of Digital Ground and difference models**

The Digital Terrain Model is created by interpolating the points of a topographic baseline survey collected by using RTK GPS system. The interpolation method used to create the SECG DGMs is specified as
Triangulation with smoothing and is applied in MapInfo Vertical Mapper to create a 1 metre resolution grid.

Triangulation is a process of grid generation that is usually applied to data that requires no regional averaging, such as elevation readings. The surface created by triangulation passes through all of the original data points while generating some degree of "overshoot" above local high values and "undershoot" below local low values. Elevation is an example of point values that are best "surfaced" with a technique that predicts some degree of over- and under-estimation. In modelling a topographic surface from scattered elevation readings, it is not reasonable to assume that data points were collected at the absolute top or bottom of each local rise or depression in the land surface.

Triangulation involves a process whereby all the original data points are connected in space by a network of triangular faces, drawn as equilaterally as possible. This network of triangular faces is referred to as a Triangular Irregular Network (TIN). Points are connected based on the nearest neighbour relationship (the Delaunay criterion) which states that a circumcircle drawn around any triangle will not enclose the vertices of any other triangle.

To visualise the resulting grid, the same colour scheme is applied, thus enabling comparison between grids of different geographic origin. The colour bands cover an elevation range between -4 to +12 metres OD with elevations lying between -2 and +5 metres OD are shown in 0.5 metres intervals, the remaining elevation bands shown in 1 metre intervals.

All difference models are created by using a grid calculator within the GIS system. For example, the difference model of two baseline surveys is created by subtracting the earlier baseline grid from the most recent baseline grid:

$$\text{Grid}_1 - \text{Grid}_2$$  \hspace{1cm} Eqn (2)

where $\text{Grid}_1 = $ most recent baseline grid and $\text{Grid}_2 = $ previous baseline grid. Therefore an annual change of $-14m^2$ represents erosion during the last year of $14m^2$, whilst positive values represent accretion over the period.
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Survey Unit 11 - Digital Ground Models
Survey Unit 12- Digital Ground Models
Annex C – Wave Report

Folkestone WaveRider Buoy

September 2011 – August 2012
Folkestone Waverider Buoy - September 2011 to August 2012

Location
OS: 619265E 133907N
WGS84: Latitude: 51° 03.756' N  Longitude: 01° 07.671' E
Water Depth
~13 m CD
Instrument Type
Datawell Directional Waverider Mk III
Data Quality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recovery rate (%)</th>
<th>Sample interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>30 minutes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Storm Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date/Time</th>
<th>$H_s$ (m)</th>
<th>$T_p$ (s)</th>
<th>$T_z$ (s)</th>
<th>Dir. (°)</th>
<th>Water level elevation (OD)</th>
<th>Tidal stage (hours re. HW)</th>
<th>Tidal range (m)</th>
<th>Tidal surge* (m)</th>
<th>Max. surge* (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13-Dec-2011 01:30</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>HW +1</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-Jan-2012 13:30</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>-1.55</td>
<td>HW +5</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table D1: Highest storms during the reporting period, September 2011 to August 2012

A storm is defined using the Peaks-over-Threshold method (Figure D1). Each storm is then examined in detail, and covers the period 16 hours either side of the storm peak, so as to include both the build-up and decay of the storm. This is the procedure recommended by the CIRIA Beach Management Manual (second edition) since it covers the build-up and decay typical of mid-latitude depressions.

The threshold used for Folkestone is 2.7 m. This value has been determined using extremes analysis of 8 years of measured data (based on 3 hourly values). A 0.25 year return period is used to identify 4 storms in an average year.

* Tidal information is obtained from the nearest recording tide gauge (the National Network gauge at Dover). The surge shown is the residual at the time of the highest $H_s$. The maximum tidal surge is the largest positive surge during the storm event.

*
Summary

Only two storms exceeded the threshold during this reporting year, concentrated in December and early January. Storm wave direction was either S or SbyE. Apart from a few isolated periods of moderate waves, later January, February and March were unusually quiet.

Figure D2: Incidence of storms during reporting period (top) and since deployment (bottom)
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Monthly time series of Hs

Figure D3: Monthly time series of $H_s$ at Folkestone. Storm threshold, shown in red, is 2.7 m
Highest storm

This storm resulted from a deep depression sited east of Scotland, which produced strong south or south-westerly winds across southeast England. Wave direction backed slightly during the storm from SSW through S to SSE, with a gradual increase in peak wave period to around 10 s shortly after the storm peak. The storm peak occurred just after High Water, but with negligible storm surge.

Figure D4: Highest storm of the reporting period
Second highest storm
This was a very similar storm to the highest, and resulted from a fast-moving, deep depression across northern Britain (Figure D8). The same pattern in wave direction was observed and again some indication of longer period waves (long for this site). Negative tidal surge was larger, but the storm peak occurred around Low Water on neap tides.

Figure D7: Second highest storm of the reporting period
Figure D8: Surface Pressure chart on 03 January 2012 at 00:00Z
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Recycling Logs

No recycling events were undertaken