THE GRUNE

Baseline Information	<u>1</u>		
Start co-ordinate:	312744, 556234	Finish co-ordinate:	314451, 556876
Total length:	1.8km	Defended length:	0km
Sand dunes:	1.8km		

Environmental designations:

- SSSI
- SAC

15 beach profiles Topographic survey

Monitoring carried out:

- SPA
- Ramsar
- AONB

Site overview:

This section consists of a 2km long shingle spit and dune system formed by the northerly drift of sediment into the Solway Firth.

Historically, the spit was located seaward of its current position, so that it merged with the nearshore sand banks, but following a shift landward of the "Swatchway" Channel over the past century, the spit has narrowed and shortened, and detached from the intertidal sand and mud flats that it once joined. Erosion is thought to be connected with the movement of the zone of erosion following harbour construction at Silloth Bay in the 1850s (Halcrow, 2002).

Currently, the Swatchway moves away from the shoreline in this section, increasing the width of the inter-tidal zone. The upper foreshore consists of a steep, sand and shingle bank, with the lower foreshore consisting of sand and mud.

Localised rock armour defences were constructed around the slipway access to Grune House in 2008.

The Current (SMP2) Policy:

The Grune: No Active Intervention in the short term (0-20yrs), medium term (20-50yrs) and long term (50-100yrs).

The plan overleaf summaries the above information graphically:



Summary of behaviour

The construction of hard defences between Silloth Harbour and Skinburnessbank is effectively holding the shoreline in advance (to seaward) of the natural position it would wish to adopt if no defences existed. As a result increased terminal erosion has taken place immediately to the north of the defences and across the southerly half of the Grune.

The existing coastal defences and harbour structures at Silloth have historically, and are continuing at the present, to restrict the natural drift of material along the shoreline towards the Grune. The consequences of this have been changes to the conditions along the Grune i.e. changes in the locations of the offshore banks and channels, landward movement of low and high water marks particularly across the southern half of the frontage. The shoreline and the low water marks diverge from roughly opposite Grune House. The wider foreshore to the north provides for more effective energy dissipation across the foreshore with a consequence that conditions are more stable across the northern half of the Grune.

The following key points arise from analysis of the contemporary monitoring data:

Offshore Wave Climate:

- No relevant data available
- Wind Climate:
- No relevant data available

Sea Levels:

- Maximum tide level recorded at Workington in the last 20 years = +5.76 (m ODN) in February 1997, which equates to approximately a 1 in 50 return period; and
- The following predicted extreme tide levels apply (m ODN):

Return Period (years)	Workington	Grune Point	
10	5.49	6.33	
100	5.84	6.93	
1000	6.18	7.58	

Foreshore & Shoreline Changes:

- Erosion of backshore and upper beach at western end but stability/accretion at northern end;
- Overall upper parts of beach accreting;
- Average volume increase in area F of 4,500m³ per year, based on 2011-2013 data;
- Average volume increase in area G of 1,000m³ per year, based on 2011-2013 data;
- Average volume decrease in area H of 1,000m³ per year, based on 2011-2013 data;
- Average volume increase in area I of 37,000m³ per year, based on 2011-2013 data; and
- Overall out of a total of 13 cross shore profiles recorded since 2004/05, 31% –accretion, 69% erosion, and 0% no change.

This behaviour is illustrated graphically on the plan overleaf.

Note: Where profile change is shown for individual profiles on the pictorial summaries, beach gain or loss is identified, where the magnitude of change in cross sectional area is greater than 2%. Where the magnitude of change is less than or equal to 2% this is denoted as no change.



Risk Assessment

The primary risks arising from the behaviour of coastal forcing processes (wind, waves and tides) and the reaction of the shoreline (beach and cliff changes, artificial defence conditions) across this frontage are:

- Overtopping of artificial defences causing flooding of the hinterland; and
- Breaching of artificial coastal defences, causing erosion of the shoreline.

The primary consequences of this behaviour are:

- Damage to and/or loss of property and infrastructure; and
- Damage to environmental habitats.

The table below shows the overall risk rating(s) that apply within this section of frontage. Overall risk is defined from the probability of conditions/behaviour occurring and the consequences the conditions/behaviour would have.

The Grune Overall Risk Rating							
Policy Unit (11e)	Section of Frontage	Exposure	Probability Index	Consequence Index	Overall Risk Rating		
6.3	The Grune	Medium	Medium	Medium/High	Medium		

Current Behaviour

Analysis of the monitoring data collected in 2013 provides the following key points:

Offshore Wave Climate:

No new data available for analysis.

Wind Climate:

• No new data available for analysis.

Sea Levels:

 Maximum tide level recorded on Workington tide gauge = +4.808m (m ODN), this level would be expected to be exceeded at least once a year.

Beach Changes:

- Overall accretion of wider beach area (I) but variable behaviour on higher sections of foreshore;
- Beach volumes increased in area F by 2,600m³;
- Beach volumes decreased in area G by 1,000m³;
- Beach volumes increased in area H by 100m³;
- Beach volumes increased in area I by 30,000m³; and
- Overall out of a total of 13 cross shore profiles recorded, 15% –accretion, 23% erosion, and 62% no change.

Note: Where profile change is shown for individual profiles on the pictorial summaries, beach gain or loss is identified, where the magnitude of change in cross sectional area is greater than 2%. Where the magnitude of change is less than or equal to 2% this is denoted as no change.

The plot overleaf summarises the results from the monitoring data analysis for this section in 2013.



Uncertainties & Issues

The following uncertainties have arisen from the data monitoring programme and analysis of the data collected:

- Quantities of sediment arriving on the beach from offshore;
- Wave conditions occurring directly in front of shore currently unknown; and
- Changes to dune habitats.

Future Management Actions

The following monitoring and management actions are recommended:

- Continue current monitoring regime;
- Improve additional remote sensing e.g. LiDAR;
- On-going monitoring of condition of artificial defence structures; and
- Carry out remedial works to maintain integrity of defences, as required.

Linkage(s) to Decision Making

The monitoring provides information to support:

• Implementation of SMP2 policies.